In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana, Chandigarh.

C.W.P.No.4984 of 2007

Date of Decision: 3.4.2007

M/s Pahuja Enterprises.

…Petitioner.

Versus

State Bank of India & Others.

…Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE M.M.KUMAR
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL

Present: Mr.Deepak Manchanda, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JUDGMENT

M.M.KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner was given notice under Section 13 (2) of the Securitisation & Reconstruction of Financial Assets and Enforcement of Security Interest Act, 2002 to which he filed objections. After consideration of objections, the respondents appear to have passed an order dated 12.10.2006 which has not been placed on record by the petitioner. However, a perusal of application dated 12.10.2006 sent by the District Magistrate, Patiala, to the Tehsildar, Rajpura, shows that after passing of order under

Section 13(4) of the Act, execution proceedings have been undertaken.

There is a remedy of appeal under Section 18 of the Act for such like grievances which obviously has not been availed of by the petitioner. Therefore, we relegate the petitioner to avail the remedy of appeal.

Petition stands disposed of in above terms.

(M.M.KUMAR)
Judge

April , 2007 **(RAJESH BINDAL)**
dkb **Judge**